

Wed June 26/2019

Members-

1. Review and Approval of Minutes
 - Arrien motions to adopt
 - Seconded by Mariana
 - *Motion passed*

2. Review and Adoption of Agenda
 - Ashvin motions to approve agenda
 - Seconded by _____
 - *Motion passed*

3. SAF General Updates
 - a. KPI presentation
 - *Olivia gives presentation*
 - huge spike in applicants
 - all numbers from 2016/2017 are an anomaly because 3 big projects- The Hive, Burritoville, and Frigo Vert
 - some of smallest project # representation of 7 sustainability themes is from Energy, Waste BUT they tend to ask for more money
 - Education and Research has decreased from 13%
 - Normally the SAF gives more funding to collaborative faculty projects, however this year the SAF gave more money to JMSB for projects that were non collaborative- Olivia thinks because JMSB did a lot of competitions this year
 - Raph-what is discrepancy b/w # of students expected and #of students showing up? (there are more students showing up than expected)
 - Mark- good to know that more students are showing up to off campus events because SAF is less likely to fund events off campus however more students are showing up to off campus events than the projects expected
 - b. [Summer schedule](#)
 - Olivia- KPI reporting,
 - Mark- if board wants to see what SAF staff is working on, can see link above.
 - Rebecca- new application development, marketing plan, marketing materials
 - Mark- develop batiment 7 w/ Alex from OCE- modelling it after Living Lab, working w Cassandra and Faisel about finally getting living lab on campus- looks good, there should be some funding (how SAF will fit into this), SRA redevelopment- faculty outreach and restructuring,
 - c. [G Suite for nonprofits](#)

Mark- Free through our techsoup membership, have to figure out some admin stuff (transfer of current system- how will that look?)

d. Batiment 7

Mark- all changes and clarifications requested by board are good to be put on contract, just a matter of people being in office at Batiment 7 to make changes

Agenda changed to reflect decision making and quorum -project funding decision (see 5)

4. Committee Updates

a. [SPC- Honorarium discussion update](#)

- i. Mark- how do we trust decisions for project leaders to know the impact of their honorarium? Honorarium question has always been hard, as well as the question of printing? If it will be impactful then it could be worth it
- ii. Arrien- is the intention to be more clarifying to the applicants and from the applicants? We can alleviate ourselves by being more clear about what we're approving
- iii. Ashvin- Installing a process framework. Through this process we can be presented with a simplified frameworking. Would like to be present in more discussions.
- iv. Mariana- FASA has a chart to see if people should be getting paid or not for the work their doing.
- v. Olivia- sounds like we have a new direction to determine honorarium- flow chart for honorariums.

b. **Coordinators to make funding decisions under \$500/\$1500?**

- Olivia- How does board feel about moving towards a structure where SAF can approve small funding amounts (\$500)
- \$1500 and over is SPC, but under that would be Coordinator
- Arrien- hesitant because BOD is Concordia students whereas technical board is not, could be complicated if non concordians are deciding on how to spend student money
- Olivia- Is similarly to SC's model (they can decide under \$300)
- Olivia- another question about neutrality and coordinators, we try to remain neutral however we are heavily involved
- Mark-
- Mariana-At FASA coordinators can speak to a decision, however the BOD votes
- Mark-it feels weird to voice opinions but we have been more so in the recent past
- Devon- are SPC meetings getting bogged down with too much?
- Olivia-yes. Just something to think about for now
- This was not in the agenda originally and is not a proposal

- Calvin- i have a question- coordinators dont have voting power, would we be giving you voting power?
- Olivia- no, we would just be able to allocate funding for small projects
- Olivia- this came up because we do already have a structure where we can approve revision requests to funding of \$300-\$500

5. Project Funding Allocation

Project Title	Ask	SPC Recommends (if any)	Speaker? (Someone who knows the project)
Queering the Map Project Revision Request	\$900	Project Revision Request New	
QBTC	\$1930?	Recommend full funding	Raph
Resisting Dispossession	\$1500	Recommend full funding	Raph
Tired Students	\$4915.46	Re-evaluate with new information	Olivia

- **QBTC-**
 - Raph- Book fair, Requesting more \$ for location, Facebook ads, Food
 - Raph- SPC though all asks were reasonable,
 - Olivia- impressed by childcare, accessibility, PWYC food
 - Devon- do people want to fund? How do we feel?
 - No concerns from board
 - Calvin motions to approve all funding
 - Akira seconded
 - *Motion passed unanimously to approve full funding for \$1930*
- **Tired Students-**
 - Olivia- Answered all of the questions asked of the Board, reevaluated prices of bots and food, they have approval from administration for installation to be in the courtyard space for full year
 - Funding ask changed to \$4915.56
 - Mark- dont think this person will spend \$1100 on food- maybe they
 - Olivia- When looked at last meeting, there was concern about being the only funders, this has changed- they are getting \$1400 from another source
 - Arrien- working with applicant to find free tires on campus- from distribution

- Mark- applicant mentioned that the university was trying to ask for \$
- Mariana- I dont think we have to fully fund it, anything that involves engaging community in the VA bulding is important right now
- Arrien- not comfortable paying for a truck, I know they have to move them but we've never funded fuel costs in the past. Potential for distribution at the university to help move the tires if they cannot supply this person with tires
- Mariana- confused because the paint
- Arrien- applicant should come to CUCCR for paint
- Olivia- sounds like we've knocked of \$500 - uncomfortable funding the fuel/truck/driver and then CUCCR has plenty of paint
- Funding amount now at \$4415.56
- Olivia- how do we feel about paying helpers?
- Mariana-not uncomfortable because that would be hard work **but they should be students**
- Calvin- \$1100 for food is way too much
- Raph- QBTC asked for \$600 in food for a lot of people whereas there are a lot less people expected this event
- Maak- we're starting to micromanage this project, lets think of a number rather than pick apart this project
- Arrien- Saying yes to fund most materials (hardware), honorariums are ok, and food but not \$1100- we've mentioned \$600
- Akira that would be ~\$3820
- Mark- \$3820- no truck, no driver, won't fund full amount for food
- Mariana- what if the paint doesnt work with the medium?
- Raph- **why dont we fund for the paint but suggest they go to CUCCR first**
- Calvin- it seems like its a lot for the type of project that it is
- Mark-this project ticks a lot of boxes, uses waste, is space that needs to be engagement, is paying students
- Arrien- I think we should do \$3733 (\$3820 minus cost of paint) **recommend they check CUCCR**
- Round to \$3740
- Arrien motions to approve \$3740 for tired students with recommendation **to go to CUCCR for paint, paying students and no trucks**
- Seconded by Mariana
- *Motion passed unanimously to fund \$3740*

- **Resisting Dispossession and Displacement-**

- Raph- money for speakers(\$800), Lunch Day (\$500), Space ()
- Raph-SPC liked it, speakers good,
- Olivia- liked that they didn't pay people to travel and instead did teleconferencing
- Raph- \$1500 sounded good, seemed like it would have good student engagement
- SPC recommends full funding
- Olivia- the funding is retroactive, which is something we haven't done in the past

- Raph-technically they applied before (20 days earlier before an event)
- Mark- Quite close for an event
- How do we feel about funding this project?
- Mark- worried about who would pay for these speakers if we deny funding?
- Ashvin motions for full funding
- Seconded by Mariana
- *Motion passed unanimously for full funding of \$1500*

- **Queering the Map revision request-**

- Mark- they are asking for money for more printing in colour- its a lot of printing, originally we funded for 4th space launch and web development
- Mark-its thriving
- Mariana- I really like this project because they were actually censored by google when they first started and lost all of their submissions
- Feelings about \$900 for printing
- Do we think this printing is mandatory for the success of this project?
- Mark- printing is something we've been hesitant about before
- Mariana- It is an installation then?
- Mark- It is interactive
- Akira- It seems essential
- Raph- upset about them pivoting their budget because their transportation costs for one of the workshop presenters
- Calvin- what if we gave them \$500 for installation and forget \$400 for other printing fee
- Olivia- I dont get the need to keep the hard copy, why cant it be left behind
- Mariana- If i printed my story I would want to take it with me
- Arrien- would ask that they have a plan for the printer afterwards. If it is for one time exhibition-which it seems like it is, they should do something with it. Should suggest they come to CUCCR for some of the materials they are looking for. If we wait and dont fund them it might become another retroactive funding situation
- Mark- are you suggesting for full funding?
- Arrien- yes but with **suggestions to find plan for printer**
- Mark- technically its in the contract that anything over \$500 that is no longer being used that it belongs to SAF
- Raph-not down for paying that much for printing. I'm fine if everyone thinks it will help with the event and really engage people.
- Do people want to offer a lower number? Or are people wanting to fund but
- Raph- cant quantify amount of printing, so it feels like we have to fully deny or fund
- Ashvin- not excited about printing, but the event is coming up really soon. Pros and cons- looking at how great this project is and wants to approve.
- Ashvin motions to approve full revision request of funding.
- Seconded by Mariana
- *In favour-4, Abstain-2, motion passed to approve revision request.*

6. Community Announcements & Ancillary Items

- Arrien- new CUCCR centre in September, keep it in mind, tell your community!

7. Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 6:30